Code section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record is: 2190 W. Aurora Avenue, Porterville, CA, 93257.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code ("Code") section 124.
- 5. The aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service as undeliverable.
  - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within fifteen (15) days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. PT 2007-2616.
  - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits, and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. PT-2007-2616, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. PT 2007-2616 are, separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$10,648.75 as of May 2, 2011.

**DETERMINATION OF ISSUES** 

- Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Cheryl Lea Fuller
   ("Respondent") has subjected her Psychiatric Technician License No. PT 31522 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians ("Board") is authorized to revoke Respondent's Psychiatric Technician License based upon the following violations alleged in PT 2007-2616 which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent violated Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 4521, subdivision (f), in that on or about November 3, 2009, in the criminal proceeding titled *People v. Cheryl Lea Fuller* (Super. Ct. Tulare County, 2009, Case No. PCM217450), Respondent pled nolo contendere to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving under the influence of drugs, to wit, Soma and Fiorinal], a misdemeanor. Said crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a psychiatric technician. Respondent admitted she had taken Soma and Fiorinal;
- b. Respondent violated Code section 4521, subdivision (a)(5), in that on or about January 17, 2009, Respondent used dangerous drugs including, but not limited to, Soma and/or Fiorinal, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to herself, others, and the public;
- c. Respondent violated Code section 4521, subdivision (a)(6), in that on or about November 3, 2009, Respondent was convicted of a criminal offense involving the consumption of dangerous drugs;
- d. Respondent violated Code section 4521, subdivision (a)(4), in that while employed and/or on duty as a psychiatric technician at Porterville Developmental Center located in Porterville, California, Respondent self-administered the controlled substance Lortab without lawful authority and her drug test resulted in positive for Lortab; and
- e. Respondent violated Code section 4521, subdivision (a)(5), in that on or about January 17, 2008, while employed and/or on duty as a psychiatric technician at Porterville

- 1	
1	Developmental Center located in Porterville, California, Respondent used the controlled
2	substance Lortab to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to herself, others, and/or the
3	public.
4	ORDER
5	IT IS SO ORDERED that Psychiatric Technician License No. PT 31522, heretofore issued
6	to Respondent Cheryl Lea Fuller, is <b>REVOKED</b> .
7	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
8	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
9	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
10	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
- 11	This Decision shall become effective onAugust 28, 2011
12	It is so ORDERED July 29, 2011
13	
14	100
15	FOR THE BOARD OF VOCATIONAL NURSING
16	AND PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIANS
17	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
18	
19	SA2009310033
20	Attachment:
21	Exhibit A: Accusation
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California 2 ARTHUR D. TAGGART Supervising Deputy Attorney General LESLIE A. BURGERMYER 3 Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 117576 Board of Vocational Mursing 1300 I Street, Suite 125 and Psychiatric Technicions 5 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5337 6 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE BOARD OF VOCATIONAL NURSING AND PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIANS 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. PT 2007-2616 12 CHERYL LEA FULLER ACCUSATION 2190 W. Aurora Avenue 13 Porterville, CA 93257 14 Psychiatric Technician License No. PT 31522 15 Respondent. 16 Complainant alleges: 17 **PARTIES** 18 1. Teresa Bello-Jones, J.D., M.S.N., R.N. ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely 19 in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Vocational Nursing and 20 Psychiatric Technicians ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs. 21 On or about November 22, 2002, the Board issued Psychiatric Technician License 22 Number PT 31522 to Cheryl Lea Fuller ("Respondent"). Respondent's psychiatric technician 23 license expired on October 31, 2008. 24 STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS 25 3. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 4520 provides, in pertinent part, that 26

the Board may discipline any licensed psychiatric technician for any reason provided in Article 3

(commencing with section 4520) of the Psychiatric Technicians Law (Code § 4500, et. seq.)

27

28

4. Code section 118, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. Under Code section 4545, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within four years after the expiration.

# 5. Code section 4521 states, in pertinent part:

The board may suspend or revoke a license issued under this chapter [the Psychiatric Technicians Law (Bus. & Prof Code section 4500, et seq.)] for any of the following reasons:

(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes but is not limited to any of the following:

(4) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous drug as defined in Section 4022

- (5) Use any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug as defined in Section 4022, or alcoholic beverages, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself or herself, any other person, or the public or to the extent that the use impairs his or her ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his or her license.
- (6) Be convicted of a criminal offense involving the falsification of records concerning prescription, possession, or consumption of any of the substances described in paragraphs (4) and (5), in which event the record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of the conviction. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline.

(f) Conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a psychiatric technician, in which event the record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline . . .

#### 6. Code section 4523 states:

A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a psychiatric technician is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board may order the license suspended or revoked or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has lapsed, or the judgment or conviction

has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

#### Code section 4022 states:

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a -----," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
- Health and Safety Code section 11170 states that no person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself.
  - California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2578, states, in pertinent part:

For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensed psychiatric technician if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensed psychiatric technician to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

(f) Any crime or act involving the sale, gift, administration, or furnishing of 'narcotics or dangerous drugs or dangerous devices' as defined in Section 4022 of the Business and Professions Code.

#### COST RECOVERY

10. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

///

111

28

# CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES/DANGEROUS DRUGS

- 11. "Fiorinal," a combination drug containing butalbital, aspirin, and caffeine, is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section 4022 in that it requires a prescription under federal law.
- 12. "Lortab," a combination drug containing hydrocodone and acetaminophen, is a Schedule III controlled substance and a narcotic as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4).
- 13. "Soma," a brand of Carisoprodol, is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section 4022 in that it requires a prescription under federal law.

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Criminal Conviction)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4521, subdivision (f), in that on or about November 3, 2009, in the criminal proceeding titled *People v. Cheryl Lea Fuller* (Super. Ct. Tulare County, 2009, Case No. PCM217450), Respondent pled nolo contendere to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, a misdemeanor], a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a psychiatric technician. The circumstances of the crime are set forth below:
- 15. On or about January 17, 2009, an officer with the California Highway Patrol observed a vehicle fail to dim its high beams as it drove past him. The officer conducted a traffic stop on the vehicle and made contact with the driver, Respondent. The officer observed that Respondent's eyes were extremely constricted, red, and watery. Respondent appeared to be disoriented and spoke in a low, quiet, and mumbled voice. Respondent denied that she had any alcohol or had taken any medication or drugs that evening. Respondent exited the vehicle at the officer's request and almost fell out of the doorway. The officer observed that Respondent had noticeable difficulty walking to his patrol car. The officer asked Respondent to perform a series of field sobriety tests ("FSTs"), which she was unable to complete as instructed. Respondent told the officer that she had taken a Soma "the other day" and was taking Fiorinal for headaches. The

officer determined based on his observations and Respondent's statement regarding her use of medications, objective signs and symptoms of impairment, and performance on the FSTs that Respondent had been driving while under the influence of prescription medication.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Use of Dangerous Drugs to an Extent or in a Manner

#### Dangerous or Injurious to Oneself or Others)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4521, subdivision (a)(5), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that on or about January 17, 2009, Respondent used dangerous drugs, including, but not limited to, Soma and/or Fiorinal, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to herself, others, and the public, as set forth in paragraphs 14 and 15 above.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Conviction of a Crime Involving the Consumption of Dangerous Drugs)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4521, subdivision (a)(6), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that on or about November 3, 2009, Respondent was convicted of a criminal offense involving the consumption of dangerous drugs, as set forth in paragraph 14 above.

# PORTERVILLE DEVELOPMENTAL CENTER FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Self-Administration of Controlled Substances)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4521, subdivision (a)(4), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that while employed and/or on duty as a psychiatric technician at Porterville Developmental Center located in Porterville, California, Respondent self-administered the controlled substance Lortab without lawful authority as follows: On or about January 17, 2008, unit supervisor S. J. met with Respondent to discuss her ongoing attendance problem. S. J. observed that Respondent appeared lethargic during the meeting and "nodded off" several times. S. J. had various medical staff, including registered nurse D. W., conduct a "reasonable suspicion nursing assessment" of Respondent.

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	A Company and proport
3	DATED: March 18, 2011.
4	TERESA BELLO-JONES, J.D., M.S.N., R.N. Executive Officer
5	Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technician
6	Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technician Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
7	Complainant
8	
9	
10	SA2009310033 / 10670544.doc
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	